UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,187	01/21/2004	James Kenneth Taillon	0214.007C	3673
7590 08/30/2007 Brett M. Hutton, Esq. Heslin Rothenberg Farley & Mesiti P.C.			EXAMINER PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
•			2839	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Ch
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Communication	10/762,187	TAILLON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chandrika Prasad	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/21	<u>1/07</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-4,9-16,19 and 21-28 is/are pending	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>2-4,9-16,19,21-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen2. Certified copies of the priority documen		nation No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea		orea in the realistic edge	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

- 1. The amendment filed 8/21/07 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 2-4, 9-16,19 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being broadened in a reissue application filed outside the two year statutory period. claims.

This reissue application purports to be a narrowing reissue application but it is not. The new claims in the reissue application are broadening reissue claims under MPEP section 1412.03 (I) which makes this a broadening reissue which would have needed to be filed within 2 years of the issue date of the patent (see 35 USC 251 and MPEP section 1412.03 (IV)) and would have required a declaration signed by the inventors (see MPEP section 1412.03(V).

After the Claims 1, 5-8, 17-18 and 20 of the Patent 5,573,414 have been disclaimed; the broadest claims in the patent 5,573,414 are 2, 11, 14 and 21. Thus, the applicant is not entitled in the reissue application to include any claims broader than these claims (2,11,14 and 21) in the patent. The new independent claim 28 basically contains the limitations of patent claim 2, however, the patent claim 2 states that the step of removing takes place prior to the securing step. Claim 28 does not limit the removing step to occur before the securing step. Thus, the new claim 28 is broader than patent claim 2. Similarly, Claims 22-24 are broader than claim 9.

Art Unit: 2839

Such instances are not necessarily all inclusive. Unless the claims are copied exactly, it is very difficult to avoid improper broadening outside the 2 year period set forth in 35 USC 251.

A claim is broader in scope than the original claims if it contains within its scope any conceivable product or process which would not have infringed the original patent.

A claim is broadened if it is broader <u>in any one respect</u> even though it may be narrower in other respects.

The standard for broadening if a claim is broadening is if the patent owner would be able to sue any party for infringement who previously could not have been sued for infringement.

Contact Information

3. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner August 23, 2007